

68 Hammond Street
Rochester, New York 14615
November 1, 1975

Mr. Rolf G. Kuehni
Verona Dyestuff Division
Mobay Chemical Corporation
Union, New Jersey 07083

Dear Rolf:

I have the copy of your letter of October 27 to Dr. Wyszecski. I would rather not anticipate his responses to your questions. I will be interested in them.

I wish to assure you that I took your results fully into account in my comments at the meeting and in my final vote — which was against the motion to recommend the $L^* u^* v^*$ and $L^* a^* b^*$ formulas.

I reported to the US National Committee of the CIE, which met in Charlottesville, Virginia at the beginning of this week, Oct. 27 and 28, that you had done the most thorough study of the $L^* a^* b^*$ formula.

The USNC elected you to be one of the strictly limited number of its Members at Large. This is a highly prized and much sought position. Many candidates whose names were suggested by members of the committee had to be eliminated to keep within the quota, but yours remained.

Election as Member at Large entitles you to attend next year's meeting, which will be held in October at University Park, Pennsylvania, on dates still to be set. I hope that you will plan to attend. In the meantime, you will have the opportunity, a few months from now, to vote to support my negative vote on the Recommendation of the two formulas. We must get an affirmative vote in the USNC. I explained my vote last Monday, and urged those present to support my vote.

The German and French delegates also voted "no". I hope their national committees confirm those votes. Even though that is still a minority, I doubt whether the Central Bureau will accept or publish the recommendation if those three countries oppose it. Perhaps other countries will also vote negatively. For instance, the Netherlands representative told me he agreed with me but felt that he was obliged to vote for the Recommendation because his committee, back home, anticipating that the matter would come up, had voted to support it, weeks prior to the London meetings. One delegate abstained, so there is another possibility for a negative vote by some national committee. I don't know which.

Sincerely,



David L. MacAdam